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Overview of current status:

- UAV development and deployment in the United States
- Regulatory process
- Commercial availability
- Suitability for specialty crops
- Testing protocol and results
- Future work
Overview of current status:

-UAV development and deployment in the United States

Significant interest in the ag sector.

UAV: “Dull, dirty & dangerous”.

(Bob Cabanya, UAS, Inc)

Primarily for inspection, asset tracking.

Hobbyist industry.
Overview of current status:

- Regulatory process

**No commercial use of UAV’s (2015).**

Public agencies can deploy UAV’s via the COA (Certificate of Authorization) process.

Self certify airworthiness.

Limited operations, areas, aircraft.
Overview of current status:

- Regulatory process

Must have pilot and observer with both passed FAA knowledge test for Private Pilot and Class 2 Medical Certificates.

Must file NOTAM prior to flight and notify Air Traffic Control.

Typical line of sight operation, daylight hours, VFR, > 5 nm from airport.
Overview of current status:

- Regulatory process

“Dropping of objects” prohibited.

Conducted a safety analysis of spraying water and was approved.

Have two operational areas in CA:
  Napa grape growing area
  Central Valley nut growing area
Commercial Product:

RMAX™ – Yamaha Motor Company.

- 100 kg
- 2-stroke, liquid-cooled, 250 cc, 13.6 kW engine
- 3.1 m rotor diameter
- 16 l liquid capacity
- 3 nozzles (1 or 2 active) (Fine / Med-Fine cat.)
- 400 m line of sight ops
- 1000 hr life
- Remote control with visual, not autonomous operation
Specialty Crops:

Small, complex fields (45° slope)

Limited access during certain phases of season

Permanent plantings

High value

Season long spraying
Specialty Crops:
Specialty Crops:
Objectives of current project:

- Feasibility of commercial UAV for spraying?

  Physical suitability

  Spray deposition

  Productivity
Spray deposition:

COA allowed only water to be sprayed:

Water sensitive paper for sample medium

13 sample locations within canopy and on ground

Analyzed using Drop Vision AG
(Leading Edge Assoc.)
Spray deposition:
Application rates & productivity:

Productivity and application rate testing in a Cabernet Sauvignon block at the Oakville Field Station (UC) in Napa Valley, CA

Forward & downward video cameras on aircraft

Direct measurement of area and spray volume discharged

Spray, ferry, refill times observed.

Local meteorology recorded
Test Design:

Due to payload and spray pump constraints on aircraft, only method to adjust application rate was by swath width and number of passes.
Test Results:

Application rates

8 ft row spacing
8-10 mph ground speed

2 row swath x 2 passes = 3.24 gal/acre
2 row swath x 1 pass = 1.61 gal/acre
3 row swath x 2 passes = 2.16 gal/acre
3 row swath x 1 pass = 1.08 gal/acre
Test Results:

Field productivity

1.32 acres test block
200 ft length
1 -2 tank loads

2 row swath x 2 passes = 3.06 acres/hr
2 row swath x 1 pass = 6.12 acres/ha
3 row swath x 2 passes = 5.13 acres/hr
3 row swath x 1 pass = 7.35 acres/hr
Test Results:

Field deposition

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample</th>
<th>Distance: 60.00 ft</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NMD</td>
<td>107.17 μm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dv .1</td>
<td>183.14 μm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dv .5</td>
<td>343.16 μm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dv .9</td>
<td>687.79 μm</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample</th>
<th>Replication</th>
<th>Drop Density</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>30.53 drops/cm</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample</th>
<th>Volume Density</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>173.64 nL/cm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>or 1.86 gal/ac</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample</th>
<th>Stain Count</th>
<th>Total Volume</th>
<th>% Coverage</th>
<th>Relative Span</th>
<th>Nmd/Vmd</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>583.00</td>
<td>3,316.01 nL</td>
<td>2.03</td>
<td>1.47</td>
<td>3.20</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Test Results:

Field deposition

1.32 acre test block
200 ft length
1 -2 tank loads

2 row swath x 2 passes = 4.92 (3.73) gal/acre
2 row swath x 1 pass = 1.30 (1.14) gal/acre
3 row swath x 2 passes = 1.70 (1.99) gal/acre
3 row swath x 1 pass = 0.26 (0.19) gal/acre
Test Results:

Field Deposition

Outliers trimmed
Test Results:

Field Deposition vs. Field Capacity

Outliers trimmed
Test Results: Swath Analysis
Test Results: Swath Analysis

+ / - 24 ft from CL spray cards

Ground speed average 8 mph

9 ft release height

12 replications

Winds 1 – 7 mph
   + / - 67°
Test Results: Swath Analysis

Winds 23° from left 3.1 m/s
Test Results: Model in AGDISP

Many key parameters outside of model limits

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Challenges</th>
<th>AGDISP Limit</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>RMAX</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rotor Diameter</td>
<td>Min 3.58 m</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Boom Vertical Disp.</td>
<td>Max -1.80 m</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Forward Speed</td>
<td>Min 17.88 m/s</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RPM</td>
<td>Max 503</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Weight</td>
<td>434 kg</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Test Results: Model in AGDISP

![Graph showing RMAX mean deposition vs effective swath width in meters. The x-axis represents the effective swath width in meters, ranging from 0 to 20. The y-axis represents deposition (g/ha), ranging from 0 to 200,000. The graph shows a decreasing trend as the effective swath width increases.]
Test Results: Model in AGDISP
Conclusions:

UAV is a feasible spraying alternative to manned aerial application.

The tested platform (Yamaha RMAX™) is a low volume, small droplet size application.

Increasing application rate volume is challenging and not without corresponding decreases in field capacity (work rate).

Flight and vehicle parameters are outside the recommended ranges for AGDISP inputs.
Public acceptance of UAV-based spraying:

Texas restricts civilian drone usage, leaves exclusive rights to authorities
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Public acceptance of UAV-based spraying:

FAA Warns Against Shooting Guns At Drones
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